



Electoral Area 'A' - CEDAR MAIN STREET DESIGN PROJECT

Open House/Presentation – April 24th

Comment Sheet

Do you have any comments for Section 3: Design Ideas?

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) 30 km/h on Cedar Rd (Hwy 1A) won't happen per MoT 2) Focusing on road is ok but there isn't much vision there. Recall my comments of a focus on York Lake. Yes I know private property etc. But vision needs to be vision.
Having not participated in the charrette the initial proposal seems redeeming.
Ok
Design ideas look good, particularly #14 and #1 and #2 and #11 (particularly for cyclists and pedestrians). #2 what does "engage" mean?
Should focus on park funding on a river park for the community as opposed to York Lake.
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Regional District A and Cedar would benefit more from a River Park than making York Lake a priority. They have been trying to get a river park for years (i.e. San Seliador property) 2) For "roundabouts" to slow down traffic they will have to be close together or they are just useful to motorists using them to turn down another road.
Urgent need for foot paths because of the school closures (the current lack of a system is not safe)

Do you have any comments for Section 4: Development Policies?

Failure to address concurrent redevelopment applications with respect to education is in direct

conflict with the proposed vision of this application.
Most people can walk 2 km and Cedar Mainstreet should include entry at Nanaimo River Bridge to Hemer Road. There is a history of commercial uses in the potential future expansion area.
‘Yes’ to CMS Reserve – Please include Introduction to this comment sheet could point out that this comment sheet is linked to poster / displays on the walls.
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Provide inclusive Grade K -12 education in Cedar schools to make this a complete community where children can get both education and recreation and not sit on a bus for two hours every day. 2) Consult with parishioners on the use of St. Philips site.

Do you have any comments for Section 5: Community Infrastructure and Services?

<p>Roundabouts are great ideas – Need buy-in from ‘MoT’</p> <p>30 km/h on hwy 1A is not applicable – expectations may not be met here</p> <p>Parallel on street parking is ok but movement of traffic parking to be (should be) placed around back</p>
Don’t need roundabouts in the community.
Make a <u>River Park</u> a priority <u>not</u> York Lake. York Lake should be promoted through environmentalists and grants as requested in the Charrette.

Do you have any comments for Section 6: Cedar Main Street Development Permit Area?

“Current Land Use”
Develop permits can result in “theme park” syndrome. The DP requirements (colour trim, etc) can restrict individualism, innovation and delay development and diversity. “Too cute” is not the objective, livable community <u>is</u> .
1.8.4. 4) LEEDS standard is very expensive. Don’t want tax rebates going to encourage buildings

to meet this standard. Approve of the lighting guidelines.

Promote holding ponds to conserve water.

Do you have any other comments for the Cedar Main Street Draft Village Plan in general?

Great Idea!

I like the idea of safe space for walkers and bikers. I am concerned about the slowdown of through traffic. There are significant residents south of this planned village who need this road to travel to Nanaimo. A design should keep this in mind and move people off the road that will keep vehicles moving.

I would particularly like to see / and support:

- 1) Measure to improve safety on Cedar Road for pedestrians and cyclists.
- 2) Alternate through fares (or bypassing) "downtown" Cedar.
- 3) Better access to Nanaimo River.

More crosswalks are needed than are currently available.

Promote complete communities with not only residence, jobs, environment and education K-12

Do you feel that the results from the Community Design Charrette have been adequately incorporated into the Cedar Main Street Draft Village Plan? If not, how could the Draft Village Plan be improved to better reflect the results of the charrette?

Generally speaking it will be a great idea.

Did not attend charrette. Don't know.

Are you satisfied that your input has been considered in the Cedar Main Street Draft Village Plan? If not, why?

Yes.

If you did not participate in the Community Design Charrette, how would you like to be involved or included for future projects?

Was on TAC but would always welcome being involved in this as this continued etc.

Thank you for all your good work.